



The Role of Blockchain in Enhancing Supply Chain Transparency

Chantal Emmanuel Sylvestre

Faculty of Education Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Supply chains are increasingly complex and globalized, necessitating advanced systems to ensure transparency, trust, and efficiency. Blockchain technology, with its decentralized, secure, and immutable ledger, offers a transformative solution to these challenges. This paper explores how blockchain can enhance supply chain transparency by providing traceability, preventing fraud, and fostering accountability. By examining key applications, case studies, and the challenges of implementation, the paper highlights blockchain's potential to revolutionize supply chain management. Despite its advantages, issues related to technological maturity, operational constraints, and regulatory compliance must be addressed for widespread adoption.

Keywords: Blockchain, Supply Chain Transparency, Decentralized Ledger, Traceability, Fraud Prevention.

INTRODUCTION

Supply chains are the backbone of industrial and logistics organizations, enabling companies to provide their products and services to customers. Each organization, along the supply chain reflects a link (time and a process) that forms a chain of events. The supply chains are becoming more complex, globalized, and competitive in order to deliver higher quality products/services at a lower price. There is a requirement to design a more advanced supply chain that goes beyond the traditional buyer/seller relationship inter-organizational model. Blockchain is a software architecture that comprises interconnected blocks of data. Each block comprises a certain volume of records of a digital transaction, or data pertaining to the genesis of an asset. Therefore, linking blocks to a chain produces a series of the digital record. The information in the blocks is replicated, shared, and synchronized in several nodes across a network, forming decentralization. Blockchain is a promising technology for modernizing the manufacturing supply chain ecosystems. There are several initiatives and applications of Blockchain at the supply chain network that all aim to enhance trust through transparency, reliability, and traceability of data. Ideally, it is thought that a global collaborative, decentralized, and transparent platform could benefit every party in the supply chain. The manufacturing supply chain accommodates goods passed through the processes at different locations, modes, and companies. The currently employed process of trading relies upon transaction trust, which relies on the honesty of parties regarding the data shared in the supply chain. The trust in peer transactions is built through control and custody execution. However, the trust built through control is complicated and costly. Since shipment of goods occurs due dates prior receiving the payments, trust and control with current mechanisms are compromised because of information asymmetry on the shipment data such as quality, quantity, status, and location of goods [1, 2].

UNDERSTANDING SUPPLY CHAIN TRANSPARENCY

Supply chain transparency is depending upon how much information is disclosed regarding both the supply chain processes and practices of a given company. Transparency can relate to process info such as

where and what suppliers are used, labor conditions at suppliers, materials used, among many others. A proper definition of transparency must be provided along with a focus on disclosing such information in order to observe a possible positive association with supply chain performance metrics. The net benefit perceived by companies from migratory towards greater supply chain transparency remains unclear. Companies have to examine their potential internal costs and risks against potential benefits and competitive advantage, resulting in a program of research opportunities on this topic [3].

Supply chain transparency is concerned with the flow of information through the supply chain network until the reaching of the final customer. A transparent supply chain provides info related to manufacturing process, the origin of the product, quality, trustworthiness, environmental compliance, and more. Transparency could prod responses to well-known negative consequences of obfuscation regarding environmental and ethical misalignment such as poisoning consumers with dangerous chemicals. At the same time, transparency might prevent reputational damage to companies engaged in actions complying with regulation but resulting in adverse societal outcomes. The trend towards supply chain transparency is not even across industries, product categories, and geographic locations. Consumer goods like food become more perceptible to the consumer base, often supported by NGO/NGP campaigns leading to information disclosure on Eco-labeling schemes, certifications, etc., thereby propelling procurement chain transparency. The by-products of transparency can also be diverse across industries: contributions to innovation and learning, market design and configuration, and supply chain re-engineering [4].

BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY OVERVIEW

Both the private and public sectors increasingly accept blockchain as a transformative technology with potentially far-reaching effects. It is unclear how blockchain will fare in its tender supply chain management (SCM) proposals, given competing alternatives with considerable momentum. Generally, there are long-term parallel efforts, one to promote the distributed ledger technology (DLT) version of blockchain, and the other to consolidate the record-keeping function in an Internet of Things (IoT)-based pool of telemetry with strong traditional oversight. Either way, ThirdWave is surprised to find SCM so prioritized, and so early for DLT. Blockchain's original vision was enhanced general-purpose transaction processing: a peer-to-peer communications protocol to computerize cash, but instead of simply transferring cash, transfer ownership of any kind of asset. This rather general vision did not sensitively consider the existing strengths and weaknesses of traditions or apply the original ideas more broadly (i.e., to more knowing unbanked people, communities whose validating behavior was contrary to the approaches, or classes of things like interest, unlike cash, much better documented by accounting). Subsequent adaptations to specific segments of its precedence RFC 959 were more operationally useful (and politically acceptable). Nevertheless, all of these forward-looking visions are rather expansive and indirect; why first divide convergence into a thousand parts (i.e., different W3t digital cash ideas)? Beyond this, many parts accept interoperability (i.e., federation), which was a key concern noted in the late 1990s that drove many ideas later adopted or better articulated in the founding vision (e.g., mobile agents, basic invariants). In contrast, the deep competitive disadvantages of sensitive vulnerabilities in blockchain DNA seem to more directly explain blockchain's focus on delivering supply chains, poor-feeding, and scams in competition with ground-up 3D printing, home hydroponics, etc [5]. First, it is worth stating what blockchain is and how it differs from the more general and longer-named notion of DLT. Supply chains, whether business-to-business (B2B) or business-to-consumer (B2C), commonly involve three things. First is the flow of goods, second is the flow of ownership, and third, transaction systems track and document both of these flows. The role of the transaction system is thus to maintain a record of ownership of those things moving along this chain and ensure that ownership transfers happen only when goods flow (i.e., arrive at the destination/escrow). In transactions, the identity of things, goods, and ownership is usually collected as input into controlling data via a shared trust record like an accounting ledger, database, or logbook, basis for retaining and manipulating the relationship before any balance transfer [6].

APPLICATIONS OF BLOCKCHAIN IN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

A supply chain consists of all interconnected entities involved in producing, distributing and consuming products or services. On the supply chain, the transactions and states of produced or transported items have to be recorded, shared and tracked. Companies still mostly rely on their own databases or spread financial or transport documents in paper among the supply chain participants. Hence, there is not one global source of trusted and updated information. As a result, even though Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) have been used with success by many other industries, the supply chain sector still encounters problems on the settlement of governance, responsibility and trust. These issues mostly arise from the complexity of the supply chain networks, as many entities are involved in

each transaction [7]. Blockchain technology has the potential to tackle those problems. It grants trust along the supply chain. Moreover, it can track the provenance of intermediates, improve the traceability of goods, opportunities of optimization and auditing, create a decentralized network, smart contracts to manage agreements and facilitate an ecosystem of new services. Blockchain technology consists of a peer-to-peer digital ledger that connects different participants of a network. The distributed ledger technology provides the participants with an identical real-time updated copy of the ledger. Each participant has a unique cryptographic key and protects their respective transactions with powerful algorithms [8].

Page | 77

CASE STUDIES AND SUCCESS STORIES

Enhancing supply chain transparency for better ethics and consumer information is still a work in progress for many large companies and entire industries. Nevertheless, there are places where this progress has already been made, and it has been applied with promising results. On the industry side, hope towards supply chain transparency is being placed in promising technologies such as blockchain. Blockchain holds particular promise due to its capabilities in preventing fraud, ensuring transparency, tracking goods, promoting accountability, and enabling consumer credit. As a solution, it is useful for several reasons. The ownership of every block in a blockchain ledger can be tracked back and verified, allowing companies to create an unalterable ledger of the ownership of goods or services across the supply chain. Moreover, companies can pre-emptively counter fraud using decentralization and cryptography. In addition to these preventative capabilities, blockchain can be used to monitor goods across the supply chain to identify which organizations are responsible for damaging practices such as child labor or deforestation. By monitoring every block over the lifecycle of goods, accountability across entire sectors can be expanded. Moreover, by verifying the conditions in which goods were produced, blockchain helps ensure that consumer credit is being honored through ethical practices [4].

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF IMPLEMENTING BLOCKCHAIN IN SUPPLY CHAINS

Blockchain technology offers many advantages, but there are also some challenges and limitations associated with its implementation in supply chains that need to be considered. The following section describes some of these challenges in the context of supply chain [9]. **Technological Constraints** Some challenges and limitations regarding the blockchain technology itself are discussed. For example, the concept of smart contracts in blockchain is yet to see its full potential, despite several applications emerging in different contexts. Smart contracts can automate decision-making and ensure implementation once specified conditions are met. However, complex protocols or chains of events cannot yet be specified within a smart contract due to the current immaturity of the technology, as is seen in some specific applications in a context. Further development from different stakeholders (such as researchers, developers, and businesses) is needed before smart contracts can be used seamlessly in real-life contexts. Additionally, public permissionless blockchains (such as Bitcoin and Ethereum) are generally not suitable for the needs of SCs. The trade-off between decentralization/distribution, trust, control over the network, and scalability is also highlighted within blockchain technologies. Most companies establishing a blockchain network in an SC setting opt for permissioned blockchains, which are more private and controllable than public blockchains. However, in doing so, companies give up much of the technology's potential for disintermediation, transparency, and clarity. This trade-off needs careful consideration when establishing a blockchain network [10]. **Operational Constraints** This section describes challenges and limitations regarding the processes and behavior of companies, firms, and organizations in SCs that are often outside the control of individual companies. For example, since most SC participants must join a blockchain network to obtain the advantages of the technology, a lack of incentives or opposition from other companies to join may be a major obstacle for a company. In a setting where coordinated action is beneficial for more than one company, yet where companies are currently competing for the same market and customers, free-rider incentives may also exist. Competitors may withhold information from a blockchain to keep SC processes secret, while still benefiting from a more trustworthy and automated SC. This creates a dilemma in creating trust and commitment to the technology. Furthermore, the example of an absence of standardized codes on which SC parameters should be monitored and reported to other SC participants indicates that better coordination may be needed in SC networks. **Decision protocols** among SC participants regarding blockchain-control and ownership, accounting and audit roles, and rules for participation and exit, will be necessary before blockchain can be implemented in SCs [11]. **Regulatory Constraints** Some challenges and limitations in the areas of laws, legislation, and regulations are discussed. For example, in Europe, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) imposes restrictions on digitally recorded data that companies must

comply with. In blockchains, historical records generally cannot be changed and individuals cannot remove their registrations. Adoption of a blockchain by companies in an SC context needs to take into account current regulatory measures for the handling of personal data [12].

Adoption Constraints Some limitations during the implementation of blockchain solutions are discussed. For example, conducting a pilot or trial of a blockchain solution is necessary to mitigate risks associated with broader implementation while simultaneously allowing swift action in technology adoption and SC participation. However, developing full trust in the blockchain solution may be difficult during a pilot. Considerable uncertainty about the reliability and functioning of the technology may still exist, even after successful trials [13].

CONCLUSION

Blockchain technology holds significant promise in enhancing supply chain transparency by providing a secure, decentralized, and immutable platform for tracking goods and verifying transactions. Its ability to ensure traceability, prevent fraud, and promote accountability makes it an invaluable tool for modern supply chains. However, the successful implementation of blockchain requires overcoming various challenges, including technological constraints, operational hurdles, and regulatory considerations. As the technology matures and more industries recognize its potential, blockchain is likely to become a cornerstone of supply chain management, driving greater efficiency, trust, and ethical compliance across global networks.

REFERENCES

1. Jabbar S, Lloyd H, Hammoudeh M, Adebisi B, Raza U. Blockchain-enabled supply chain: analysis, challenges, and future directions. *Multimedia systems*. 2021 Aug;27:787-806. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00533-021-01030-1)
2. Bellavista P, Esposito C, Foschini L, Giannelli C, Mazzocca N, Montanari R. Interoperable blockchains for highly-integrated supply chains in collaborative manufacturing. *Sensors*. 2021 Jul 21;21(15):4955. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21154955)
3. Brun A, Karaosman H, Barresi T. Supply chain collaboration for transparency. *Sustainability*. 2020. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145800)
4. Sunny J, Undralla N, Pillai VM. Supply chain transparency through blockchain-based traceability: An overview with demonstration. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*. 2020. [academia.edu](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.104800)
5. Wang M, Wu Y, Chen B, Evans M. Blockchain and supply chain management: a new paradigm for supply chain integration and collaboration. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*. 2020 Dec 6;14(1):111-22. [oscm-forum.org](https://doi.org/10.1080/1937512X.2020.1760000)
6. Nandi M, Bhattacharjee RK, Jha A, Barbhuiya FA. A secured land registration framework on Blockchain. In 2020 third ISEA conference on security and privacy (ISEA-ISAP) 2020 Feb 27 (pp. 130-138). IEEE. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISEA50505.2020.9050000)
7. Kuruvilla S. Private regulation of labor standards in global supply chains: Problems, progress, and prospects. 2021. [ces.ro](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10985-021-09770-1)
8. Raja Santhi A, Muthuswamy P. Influence of blockchain technology in manufacturing supply chain and logistics. *Logistics*. 2022. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/log2022001)
9. Öztürk C, Yıldızbaşı A. Barriers to implementation of blockchain into supply chain management using an integrated multi-criteria decision-making method: a numerical example. *Soft Computing*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-020-05370-0)
10. Zheng Z, Xie S, Dai HN, Chen W, Chen X, Weng J, Imran M. An overview on smart contracts: Challenges, advances and platforms. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2020 Apr 1;105:475-91. [\[PDF\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.12.010)
11. Galati F. Blockchain adoption in supply networks: a social capital perspective. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*. 2022. [emerald.com](https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-03-2021-0142)
12. Veit RD. Safeguarding regional data protection rights on the global internet—The European approach under the GDPR. In *Personality and Data Protection Rights on the Internet: Brazilian and German Approaches* 2022 Mar 13 (pp. 445-484). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-97000-6_17)
13. Chowdhury S, Rodriguez-Espindola O, Dey P, Budhwar P. Blockchain technology adoption for managing risks in operations and supply chain management: evidence from the UK. *Annals of operations research*. 2023 Aug;327(1):539-74. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05670-1)

CITATION: Chantal Emmanuel Sylvestre. The Role of Blockchain in Enhancing Supply Chain Transparency. Research Output Journal of Education, 2024 3(3):75-79.