



<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2024/413337>

The Role of Public Health in Reducing Health Costs

Alberta Jeanne N.

School of Applied Health Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The high cost of health care has become a pressing concern for individuals, governments, and organizations alike. Public health strategies, through preventive measures and health promotion, offer an effective way to reduce national healthcare expenditures while improving population health outcomes. This paper examines the role of public health in reducing health costs by focusing on prevention, early interventions, and community-based health initiatives. The paper also examines successful case studies of public health programs that have effectively reduced long-term costs, and highlights challenges in implementing these programs. As funding and political will remain key obstacles, the integration of public health initiatives with healthcare systems promises significant economic and social benefits.

Keywords: Public health, health care costs, preventive care, health promotion, chronic disease, early intervention.

INTRODUCTION

Currently, individuals, employers, and government leaders are voicing growing concerns about the high cost of health care. Despite this concern, experts are optimistic and have stated that America's health status can be improved. They have seen advances in the development of both medicine and the understanding of the body's functions. Many of the surgical procedures, CAT scans, MRI scans, and other medical advances have all become major factors in improving life spans and reducing medical costs. Likewise, public health strategies have the power to influence numerous factors that affect individual health. Thus, existing exclusions and prohibitions in our healthcare delivery system can be removed. Most improvements that can be made in the healthcare delivery system need to be restructured at the provider level. Many people are already interested in improving the quality of health care in Michigan [1, 2]. The traditional emphasis has been on illness, medical care, and the cure and treatment of individual patients. Currently, the emphasis is changing. Health care is beginning to focus increasingly on the provision of services to sick and at-risk people, with a more prevention-oriented delivery system practice of caring for those with illness. Already, the providers of health care in Michigan are concerned about improving the quality of health care that has been associated with reducing costs. They are creating their own programs to improve the chances of recovery and reduce the need for further health care. Public health, chronic illness, and traditional medicine also share many of the same problems. That is because public health goes beyond individual care to emphasize the health of the entire community. Public health is concerned with healthcare delivery systems and the practice of caring for individuals who are sick and at risk of becoming ill. The difference between public health and health care is only where they concentrate their programs. Public health promotes the people's health, whereas the health care system treats the one person. The goal of public health is to promote and maintain the health of the whole community. That is why it can take into account many of the new advances in such fields as chronic disease, epidemiology, and health behavior. As knowledge about these areas increases, public health can make innovative approaches that will help to reduce costs in health care and the cost of putting together a

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

competitive takeover. This issue of chronic illness is intended to help inform you about several public health initiatives, who some of the innovators are in these approaches, and how their efforts have connected with other efforts in other communities in Michigan, such as access to care initiatives and reduce cost objectives for health care institutions. This includes community-based interventions and targeting those at risk, as well as the general population. This highlights some of the public health training programs in Michigan, with an emphasis on chronic illness, and the benefits that can be gained from being aware of these health trends [3, 4].

Understanding Public Health and Its Impact on Health Costs

Public health is an endeavor to improve population health. Though it involves some medical care, it places greater emphasis on preventive measures and education than personal medical care delivered to individuals in a clinical setting. There are numerous ways public health initiatives reduce national health care costs. For example, a vaccinated population requires less costly treatment for vaccine-preventable diseases. Reducing obesity and encouraging healthy lifestyles can offset the future need for expensive noncommunicable disease treatment. Public health education programs often facilitate early diagnosis of communicable diseases, thus controlling these diseases and averting waste from excess morbidity and mortality. A well-funded public health infrastructure can reduce overall national health care expenditures, such as those to compensate workers for lost productivity and companies for lost revenue. It is for these reasons that public health initiatives are predicted to save trillions of dollars over time [5, 6]. The methods by which public health decreases health care costs are generally accomplished by the use of such metrics as morbidity rates, mortality rates, disability-adjusted life years, and quality-adjusted life years. Morbidity and mortality are traditionally used to calculate the cost-effectiveness of an intervention. If an intervention saves more lives or improves more lives for less money, the intervention is more cost-effective. The U.S. spends more than five times the median amount on health care, yet has the lowest life expectancy of all nations in the study. When adjusted for age and sex demographics, reallocating the higher spending to public health would result in an improvement in life expectancy. Therefore, funding public health initiatives at a level commensurate with the U.S. median official healthcare spending will boost life expectancy and benefit the economy in the long run. From a human rights perspective, public health would be funded above these predictions to maximize health outcomes based on need. Internationally, the worldwide rate of return from investment in public health is approximately \$10 to \$1. However, many of these reports are theoretical and must be backed up by further studies. Epidemiologic studies, cost-effectiveness models, and other research are needed to show that public health truly has a hand in reducing healthcare costs. To do so, public health must be fully integrated as part of the healthcare continuum. Reduced access to care, including public health, is linked to poor health and excessive healthcare costs. Deep cuts to public health in the United States were associated with reduced public health capacity and lapsed preparedness. Public health cannot change public behavior without the total cooperation of the healthcare system, nor can policies be implemented unless the public is willing to adhere. Regular and continuing communication is a necessity [7, 8].

Key Strategies and Interventions in Public Health to Reduce Health Costs

Key strategies and interventions in public health to reduce health costs. Public health concerns utilized in preventive care models that are linked to healthcare cost savings generally echo the adage: “An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure.” This is because early detection and intervention are frequently cheaper than treating advanced disease states. Interventions administered by both public health and healthcare as a way of maximizing the health of the people in the community include immunizations, screenings, early detection programs, health education, and community-level activities. To maximize the health of the population, public health departments regularly engage the community to take part in outreach activities, or community health workers in promoting positive health behavior. These prevention and promotion activities can be effective for helping to reduce the health burden of our communities, and over the long term, decrease the amount of money spent on health care [9, 10]. Intersectoral interventions require the development and implementation of policies that will encourage and support public health efforts of local health departments to form a wider, or more comprehensive collaborative approach. For instance, this could mean that the local health department engages not only in health promotion activities but also joins in with education or housing, as well as other sectors, to address and eliminate disparities in education or socioeconomic status. In this way, the rift between classes or between haves and have-nots would be addressed. If we had local, state, or federal policies promoting complete streets, this would encourage communities to support public health measures incorporated into public works or transportation. Units of government engaging in policies, work

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

processes, or allocation of resources would help to improve health. Analysis and indicators that measure the tracking of improvements in health or disparities in health for the community or community members might also encourage the necessary generation of partnerships between local health departments and the sectors that are needed to bring results. Community collaborations allow a public health department to do many more projects and interventions than it ever could have done on its own. There are recommendations and strategies that local health departments and community partners can utilize to foster inclusiveness, objectivity, and parity in their interventions as part of the Healthy People goals. Emerging technology and data analytics offer tools that will continue to help advance public health efforts and demonstrate a return on investment. A full range of public health upstream strategies that are proven to lead to healthier communities will then result in reductions in the cost of care. In turn, people pay less for health care. The ultimate shared goal for both audiences is to develop healthier communities and reduce the cost of care [11, 12].

Case Studies and Examples of Successful Public Health Initiatives

Tobacco cessation programs have been proven to work. Anti-smoking campaigns in the United States have been successful in reducing the percentage of the adult population that smokes, particularly among those who are socioeconomically disadvantaged. Similarly, nutrition interventions are likely to be cost-effective. Numerous interventions to address obesity prevention have been recommended based on public health principles, including those to promote healthy eating behaviors, promote physical activity, change the food and physical activity environment, and change health care environments. Many of these recommendations to change the environment have been implemented, and where studied, have shown positive outcomes. Certain cities have implemented nutrition intervention approaches to prevent childhood obesity [13, 14]. Mental health in Central and Eastern European countries may be a global outlier in cost-effectiveness. The region has low levels of disability from major conditions such as heart disease, stroke, and certain types of cancers, likely due in part to policies implemented in the socialist era to reduce tobacco and alcohol consumption and promote healthy eating and physical activity. Mental health increases are likely to be expensive due to high levels of depression and anxiety across populations in the region, and those cost increases may be relevant to economic stakeholders' decisions about transportation investments, retirement age, and trade policies. Taken together, domestic and international case studies have shown that the business case for formal sector primary prevention interventions is becoming increasingly strong, particularly as the reach of an intervention expands [9, 15].

Challenges and Barriers in Implementing Public Health Programs to Reduce Health Costs

There are significant obstacles to implementing public health programs to reduce future health costs. First, politicians and the public may not uniformly support spending on prevention. Furthermore, funding for preventive services can be difficult to obtain, especially in austere economic climates. For-profit organizations and providers may not be motivated to focus on prevention. In theory, preventive measures in total may cost more than they ultimately save. To be successful, public health programs must overcome a significant number of challenges, including financial, political, cultural, and operational barriers. The United States spends substantial amounts of money on the consequences of obesity, poor lifestyle choices, and the resultant chronic diseases, including health care costs, disability, and reduced productivity. Despite significant public and private investment in the prevention of these conditions, there is an inequitable distribution of these funds for public health, and treatment of disease generally takes precedence over prevention of disease and maintenance of health [16, 17]. While there is general public endorsement of activities that intend to reduce the percentage of national economic costs for chronic diseases, individuals rarely partake in lifestyle or healthcare behaviors that maximize health or reduce costs. Necessary advancements in the areas of data collection and program evaluation are mentioned in this document. In this document, there is also extensive discussion of the challenges and barriers faced by public health officials when seeking to implement public health programs that effectively reduce long-term health costs and/or yield direct health benefits. These challenges are operational, financial, and political. Governmental capacity and financial constraints, in addition to competing priorities for public health spending, are discussed. Organizational and policy obstacles to the provision of preventive health services are noted. Attention is given to consumer use of clinical preventive health services, barriers to proper usage, and the implementation of preventive services mandates [18, 19].

CONCLUSION

Public health plays a critical role in reducing healthcare costs by focusing on prevention and health promotion. Initiatives such as vaccination programs, health education, and early detection of diseases can

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

significantly reduce the financial burden associated with treating advanced illnesses. Additionally, successful public health efforts, like anti-smoking campaigns and obesity prevention, have shown measurable cost savings and improved population health outcomes. Despite these successes, public health initiatives face barriers such as limited funding, political resistance, and public disengagement. Overcoming these challenges and integrating public health with broader healthcare systems is essential to reducing healthcare costs and building healthier communities.

REFERENCES

1. Chen PJ, Antonelli M. Conceptual models of food choice: influential factors related to foods, individual differences, and society. *Foods*. 2020 Dec 18;9(12):1898.
2. Wang Y, Zhao L, Gao L, Pan A, Xue H. Health policy and public health implications of obesity in China. *The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology*. 2021 Jul 1;9(7):446-61. [[HTML](#)]
3. Janakiram C, Dye BA. A public health approach for prevention of periodontal disease. *Periodontology 2000*. 2020 Oct;84(1):202-14.
4. Goldsteen RL, Goldsteen K, Dwelle T. Introduction to public health: promises and practices. 2024. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
5. Pronovost PJ, Urwin JW, Beck E, Coran JJ, Sundaramoorthy A, Schario ME, Muisyo JM, Sague J, Shea S, Runnels P, Zeiger T. Making a dent in the trillion-dollar problem: toward zero defects. *NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery*. 2021 Jan 1;2(1). [[HTML](#)]
6. Health Organization W. A healthy return: Investment case for a sustainably financed WHO. 2022. [who.int](https://www.who.int)
7. Vanness DJ, Lomas J, Ahn H. A health opportunity cost threshold for cost-effectiveness analysis in the United States. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2021 Jan;174(1):25-32.
8. Eckelman MJ, Huang K, Lagasse R, Senay E, Dubrow R, Sherman JD. Health Care Pollution And Public Health Damage In The United States: An Update: Study examines health care pollution and public health damage in the United States. *Health Affairs*. 2020 Dec 1;39(12):2071-9. [healthaffairs.org](https://www.healthaffairs.org)
9. Le LK, Esturas AC, Mihalopoulos C, Chiotelis O, Bucholz J, Chatterton ML, Engel L. Cost-effectiveness evidence of mental health prevention and promotion interventions: A systematic review of economic evaluations. *PLoS medicine*. 2021 May 11;18(5):e1003606. [plos.org](https://www.plos.org)
10. Kohli M, Maschio M, Becker D, Weinstein MC. ... potential public health and economic value of a hypothetical COVID-19 vaccine in the United States: Use of cost-effectiveness modeling to inform vaccination *Vaccine*. 2021. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
11. McKinlay JB, Marceau LD. Upstream healthy public policy: lessons from the battle of tobacco. *Political And Economic Determinants of Population Health and Well-Being*. 2020 Nov 25:201-20. [psu.edu](https://www.psu.edu)
12. Dopp AR, Lantz PM. Moving upstream to improve children's mental health through community and policy change. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*. 2020 Sep;47(5):779-87. [ideas4kidsmentalhealth.org](https://www.ideas4kidsmentalhealth.org)
13. Park H, Lee C. Effects of anti-smoking advertising messages on smokers. *Asia-Pac J Converg Res Interchange*. 2021;7:131-40.
14. Lacsá JE. Is early anti-smoking education alone enough to combat global smoking rates, or should we address socioeconomic and environmental factors?. *Journal of Public Health*. 2024 Jul 27:fdae161.
15. Proudman D, Greenberg P, Nellesen D. The growing burden of major depressive disorders (MDD): implications for researchers and policymakers. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2021 Jun;39:619-25.
16. Schneider P, Popkin B, Shekar M, Eberwein JD, Block C, Okamura KS. Health and economic impacts of overweight/obesity. *Health and economic consequences of an impending global challenge*. 2020 Feb 13;69:1-3. [sipotra.it](https://www.sipotra.it)
17. Woods T, Miljkovic T. Modeling the economic cost of obesity risk and its relation to the health insurance premium in the United States: a state level analysis. *Risks*. 2022 Oct 17;10(10):197.
18. Weber W, Reinhardt A, Rossmann C. Lifestyle segmentation to explain the online health information-seeking behavior of older adults: representative telephone survey. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2020 Jun 12;22(6):e15099.
19. Seixas A, Connors C, Chung A, Donley T, Jean-Louis G. A pantheoretical framework to optimize adherence to healthy lifestyle behaviors and medication adherence: the use of personalized

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

approaches to overcome barriers and optimize facilitators to achieve adherence. JMIR mHealth and uHealth. 2020 Jun 24;8(6):e16429. jmir.org

CITE AS: Alberta Jeanne N. (2024). The Role of Public Health in Reducing Health Costs. Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 4(1):33-37. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2024/413337>

Page | 37

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.